

A New Solution to Ginsparg-Wilson Relation from Generalized Staggered Fermion

Jian Dai

Room 2082, Building 48, Peking University, Beijing, P. R. China, 100871
daijianium@yeah.net

Xing-Chang Song

Theoretical Group, Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing, P. R. China, 100871
songxc@ibm320h.phy.pku.edu.cn
(February 12th, 2001)

Abstract

A generalized anti-hermitian staggered Dirac operator is formulated. Its relation with noncommutative geometry is briefly reviewed. Once this anti-hermitian operator is modified to be “ γ^5 -hermitian”, it will provide a new solution to Ginsparg-Wilson relation, basing on an abstract algebraic analysis of Neuberger’s overlap construction and a redefinition of chirality.

Keywords: staggered fermion, Dirac operator, Ginsparg-Wilson relation, chirality, noncommutative geometry

11.15.Ha

I. INTRODUCTION

A long-lasting folklore that massless fermions are incompatible with lattice formalism of field theories [1] has been almost brought to an end due to the breakthroughs in 90's characterized in physical language by the introduction of infinitely many flavors [2]. Mathematically, the problem of chiral fermions on lattices has been addressed to *Ginsparg-Wilson relation*(GWR) [3]; and overlap solution devised by Neuberger *et al* provides a promising candidate for the continuing numerical work [4]. Intuitively speaking, overlap construction can be characterized by an operator function including a “compactification” of the argument operator. Dirac-Wilson operator with a heavy negative mass is chosen by Neuberger for the argument operator. In fact, we will present a generic algebraic analysis on the construction of this operator function in this letter, such that a large class of formal solutions to GWR is available.

On the other hand, staggered fermion formalism has dominated the simulations of LQCD for a long time when chiral properties are concerned [5]; we found an intriguing relation between staggered Dirac operator and noncommutative geometry(NCG) recently [6]. However, in our understanding, the traditional definition of chirality for staggered fermions which reserves a $U(1)$ chiral symmetry is unreasonable from a very simple physical argument. The main contribution of this letter is that a new solution to GWR can be found out, if a *generalized staggered Dirac operator*(GSDO, we will refer conventional staggered Dirac operator as SDO) with a heavy negative mass is taken as argument operator in the operator function mentioned above and a more reasoning alternative definition of chirality is adopted.

This paper is organized as following. Anti-hermitian GSDO is defined in section II, then a brief review of the relation of this operator and NCG is given. Alternative definition of chirality can be fitted into GWR, unless the anti-hermitian GSDO is modified to be “ γ^5 -hermitian”. In section III, an abstract algebraic analysis is forwarded to characterized the overlap solution to GWR, and GSDO can be matched into the solution class. Some open discussions are put in section IV.

II. GENERALIZED STAGGERED FERMIONS, NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY AND “WRONG” DEFINITION OF CHIRALITY

Define a GSDO on a 2m-dimensional lattice $\mathcal{Z}^{2m}$ as

$$\mathcal{D}_{GS} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} (\gamma^\mu \otimes \mathbf{1} \partial_\mu + \frac{ia}{2} \gamma^{2m+1} \otimes \gamma^\mu \Delta_\mu) \quad (2.1)$$

in which we introduce notations as following: let a be lattice constant, $T_\mu^\pm$ be shift operators in conventional meaning; $\partial_\mu = \frac{1}{2a}(T_\mu^+ - T_\mu^-)$, $\Delta_\mu = \frac{1}{a^2}(2 - T_\mu^+ - T_\mu^-)$; γ^μ consist of a set of hermitian gamma matrices in 2m-dimensional Euclidean space and $\gamma^{2m+1} = (-)^m \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \dots \gamma^{2m}$. We hope to point out that $\{\gamma^\mu \otimes \mathbf{1}, \gamma^{2m+1} \otimes \gamma^\mu : \mu = 1, 2, \dots, 2m\}$ generate 4m-dimensional Clifford algebra $Cl(4m)$; in another word, let $\Gamma^\mu = \gamma^{2m+1} \otimes \gamma^\mu$, $\Gamma^{2m+\mu} = \gamma^\mu \otimes \mathbf{1}$, and $\Gamma_\pm^\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma^\mu \pm i\Gamma^{2m+\mu})$, $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$, then GSDO can be put in a complex coordinate form

$$i\mathcal{D}_{GS} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} (\Gamma_+^\mu \partial_\mu^+ + \Gamma_-^\mu \partial_\mu^-) \quad (2.2)$$

where $\partial_\mu^\pm = \frac{1}{a}(T_\mu^\pm - 1)$. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{D}_{GS}$ satisfies the “square-root” condition $\mathcal{D}_{GS}^2 = \Delta$ where lattice laplacian $\Delta = -\partial_\mu^+ \partial_\mu^- = -\sum_\mu \Delta_\mu$, and that $\mathcal{D}_{GS}^\dagger = -\mathcal{D}_{GS}$.

It has been shown in [7] that definition (2.1) is equivalent to SDO adopting *staggered phases* $\eta_\mu(x)$ as gamma matrices when $2m=4$ and Clifford algebra $Cl(8)$ stands in a specific representation. We have given a more explicit proof to this equivalency in cases $2m=2,4$ in [6]. Here two points shall be clarified. The first one is that when $2m=2,4$, the difference between staggered phase formalism and GSDO is that no peculiar representation is specified in definition (2.1) or (2.2), so that generically GSDO may have no “stagger” interpretation as a geometric picture. The second one is that the equivalence of SDO and GSDO in higher even-dimensional cases has not yet been proved.

A deep relation between GSDO and NCG has been discovered in our recent work and we give an outline of this relation in this paragraph. Slightly modify Eq.(2.2) to make the following definition of a “geometric Dirac operator”

$$F := \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} (\Gamma_+^\mu T_\mu^+ + \Gamma_-^\mu T_\mu^-)$$

F possess follow properties:

i) Connes’ distance formula $d_F(x, y) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : \| [F, f] \| \leq 1\}$, $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{Z}^{2m}$ [8] endows an induced metric on lattices. We proved that when $2m=2$, $d_F(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^2 (x^i - y^i)^2$, $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{Z}^2$ in [9], which recovers Euclidean geometry on 2D lattices. Note that since the difference part of F and $\mathcal{D}_{GS}$ commutes with any f , $d_F(\cdot) = d_{\mathcal{D}_{GS}}(\cdot)$.

ii) $i[F, f]$ provides an involutive representation of differential form df on lattices under Connes’ NCG construction. This representation can be extended to higher forms and due to that F is an *index-zero Fredholm operator* subjected to the so-called “geometric square-root” condition $F^2 \sim 1$, this extension is “Junk-free” [10].

iii) Gauge coupling is added by twisting F with link variables ω

$$F(\omega) := \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} (\Gamma_+^\mu \omega_\mu^\dagger T_\mu^+ + \Gamma_-^\mu T_\mu^- \omega_\mu)$$

Then Wilson action for lattice gauge fields can be expressed as

$$S[\omega] = Tr((F(\omega) \wedge F(\omega))(F(\omega) \wedge F(\omega))) \quad (2.3)$$

with a properly-defined wedge product, providing that ω is unitary [11].

Let $\epsilon = \gamma^{2m+1} \otimes \gamma^{2m+1}$, then it is obvious that $\{\mathcal{D}_{GS}, \epsilon\} = 0$. Till now chirality for SDO is defined to be ϵ ; accordingly, staggered formalism has a $U(1)_A$ chiral symmetry which

is the main advance of this theory. However, we understand that $\gamma := \gamma^{2m+1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$ is a more meaningful choice for chirality in physics. In fact, consider continuum limit(C.L.) in Eq.(2.1), $\mathcal{D}_{GS} \xrightarrow{C.L.} \gamma^\mu \otimes \mathbf{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$, and γ is nothing but the chirality in continuum theory. Of course on a lattice, $\{\mathcal{D}_{GS}, \gamma\} \neq 0$. But it has been a general belief that GWR provides a final answer to the problem of chiral fermions on lattices. Hence, what we plan to do next is to define a lattice Dirac operator $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{GS}$ such that GWR holds for $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{GS}$ and γ . As the first step, the anti-hermitian $\mathcal{D}_{GS}$ must be modified to be a γ -hermitian D_{GS} defined as

$$D_{GS} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} (\gamma^\mu \otimes \mathbf{1} \partial_\mu + \frac{a}{2} \gamma^{2m+1} \otimes \gamma^\mu \Delta_\mu) \quad (2.4)$$

such that $\gamma D_{GS} \gamma = D_{GS}^\dagger$.

III. SOLUTION TO GINSPARG-WILSON RELATION FROM GENERALIZED STAGGERED DIRAC OPERATOR

The most popular solution to GWR now is overlap operator devised by Neuberger. We will describe Neuberger's construction in a pure algebraic manner so that generalizations are easy to be searched out. Note that as in most physical literature, mathematical rigidity on convergence is not cared in detail.

GWR can be abstracted as a operator pair (D, Γ) and a constraint relation

$$\{D, \Gamma\} = aD\Gamma D \quad (3.1)$$

where Γ satisfies $\Gamma^2 = 1, \Gamma^\dagger = \Gamma$ and D is Γ -hermitian $\Gamma D \Gamma = D^\dagger$. Any solution can be written in the form $aD = 1 + V$; thus it is easy to verify that Eq.(3.1), together with the properties of D, Γ , imply V is Γ -hermitian and unitary. On the other hand, any Γ -hermitian unitary operator V will provide a formal solution to Eq.(3.1).

Define a compactification transformation $\mathcal{T}$ of operators to be $\mathcal{T}(A) = A(A^\dagger A)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for a generic operator A . We claim these three statement hold:

$$\mathcal{T}(A) \text{ is unitary;} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mathcal{T}(A) = (AA^\dagger)^{-\frac{1}{2}} A; \quad (3.3)$$

$$\Gamma A \Gamma = A^\dagger \Rightarrow \Gamma \mathcal{T}(A) \Gamma = \mathcal{T}(A)^\dagger \quad (3.4)$$

In fact, from knowledge of linear algebra, A can be decomposed as $A = U\lambda V^\dagger$ where U, V are two unitary operators and λ is a diagonal operator, so $A^\dagger = V\bar{\lambda}U^\dagger$. Based on these facts, any α -order power of $A^\dagger A$ and $AA^\dagger$ are defined to be $(A^\dagger A)^\alpha = V|\lambda|^{2\alpha}V^\dagger$, $(AA^\dagger)^\alpha = U|\lambda|^{2\alpha}U^\dagger$. Hence, both $(A^\dagger A)^\alpha$ and $(AA^\dagger)^\alpha$ are hermitian. Then $\mathcal{T}(A)\mathcal{T}(A)^\dagger = A(A^\dagger A)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(A^\dagger A)^{-\frac{1}{2}}A^\dagger = A(A^\dagger A)^{-1}A^\dagger = 1$, and $\mathcal{T}(A)^\dagger \mathcal{T}(A) = (A^\dagger A)^{-\frac{1}{2}}A^\dagger A(A^\dagger A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 1$, from which Eq.(3.2) follows. $(AA^\dagger)^{-\frac{1}{2}}A = U\lambda|\lambda|^{-1}V^\dagger = A(A^\dagger A)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, i.e. Eq.(3.3). Eq.(3.4) can be shown by $(\Gamma A \Gamma = A^\dagger) \Rightarrow (\Gamma A^\dagger A \Gamma = AA^\dagger) \Rightarrow ([U^\dagger \Gamma V, |\lambda|^2] = 0) \Rightarrow ([U^\dagger \Gamma V, |\lambda|^{2\alpha}] = 0) \Rightarrow (\Gamma(A^\dagger A)^\alpha \Gamma = (AA^\dagger)^\alpha) \xrightarrow{(3.3)} \Gamma \mathcal{T}(A) \Gamma = \mathcal{T}(A)^\dagger$. Consequently, an operator function

$\mathbf{D}(A) := (1 + \mathcal{T}(A))/a$ provides a formal solution to Eq.(3.1), if A is Γ -hermitian.

A is chosen by Neuberger to be Dirac-Wilson operator $\mathcal{D}_W$ with a negative mass $-1/a$, and $\Gamma = \gamma^5$. We can continue to abstract and to generalize this construction to a finite summation decomposition $A = -\frac{1}{a} + A_0 + aA_1 + a^2A_2 + \dots + a^N A_N$ for some natural number N in which $A_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are $\mathcal{O}(1)$ operators under C.L. and $A_{even}^\dagger = -A_{even}, \Gamma A_{even} \Gamma = -A_{even}, A_{odd}^\dagger = A_{odd}, \Gamma A_{odd} \Gamma = A_{odd}$. Hence the Γ -hermiticity of A follows, and $\mathbf{D}(A) \xrightarrow{C.L.} A_0$. As a physical requirement, A_0 has to approach $i\gamma^\mu p_\mu$ in momentum representation under C.L.. More subtleties on the choices of other A_i have to be taken care to make $\mathbf{D}(A)$ meaningful in physics. In the case of $A = \mathcal{D}_W$, $A_0 = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$, $A_1 = \Delta/2$.

Now let $A = D_{GS} - \frac{1}{a}$, and above abstract algebraic construction can be specified as $\Gamma = \gamma^{2m+1} \otimes \mathbf{1} = \gamma$, $N = 1$, $A_0 = \gamma^\mu \otimes \mathbf{1} \partial_\mu$, $A_1 = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2m+1} \otimes \gamma^\mu \Delta_\mu$. Then a solution to GWR for a 2m-dimensional lattice is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{GS} = \mathbf{D}(D_{GS}) = \frac{1}{a}(1 + \mathcal{T}(D_{GS}))$$

It is easy to check that $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{GS}$ has continuum limit $i\gamma^\mu \otimes \mathbf{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

i) The old problem concerning staggered formalism of the 2^m flavor doubling is still presented in our formulation as being shown in the C.L. of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{GS}$.

ii) An physically-admissible massless Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ on a 4-dimensional lattice shall fulfill the following conditions:

1. (*Locality*) in moment space, $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(p)$ is an analytic function of momentum p ;
2. (*No doubling*) $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(p)$ is invertible for any p , except $p = 0$;
3. (*Continuum limit*) $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(p) \sim i\gamma^\mu p_\mu + \mathcal{O}(ap^2)$, when $p \rightarrow 0$;
4. (*Chirality*) GWR holds for $\mathcal{D}$

$$\{\mathcal{D}, \gamma^5\} = a\mathcal{D}\gamma^5\mathcal{D}$$

Only condition 3,4 are considered in this letter, and it is in proceeding whether condition 1,2 are satisfied by $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{GS}$.

iii) It can be referred as a ‘‘Wick rotation’’ for the modification from $\mathcal{D}_{GS}$ to D_{GS} and it is a profound change since the relations of the former to NCG that we outlined in Sec.II can not be expected to be hold for the latter. However, LQCD action can be put in this form

$$S[\omega, \psi, \bar{\psi}] = Tr((F(\omega) \wedge F(\omega))(F(\omega) \wedge F(\omega))) + (\psi, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{GS}\psi)$$

compared with Eq.(2.3).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Climb-Up (Pan Deng) Project of Department of Science and Technology in China, Chinese National Science Foundation and Doctoral Programme Foundation of Institution of Higher Education in China. J.D. expects to express his gratitude to Professor Chuan Liu for the helpful and inspiring discussion on GWR.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. B. Nielsen, M. Ninomiya, "A No-Go Theorem for Regularizing Chiral Fermions", Phys. Lett. **105B**, 219-223, (1981).
- [2] H. Neuberger, "Exact Chiral Symmetry on the Lattice", hep-lat/0101006.
- [3] P. H. Ginsparg, K. G. Wilson, "A remnant of chiral symmetry of the lattice", Phys. Rev. **D25**, 2649-2657, (1982).
- [4] H. Neuberger, "Exact massless quarks on the lattice", Phys. Lett. **B417**, 141-144, (1998); H. Neuberger, "More about exactly massless quarks on the lattice", Phys. Lett. **B427**, 353-355, (1998).
- [5] L. Susskind, "Lattice fermions", Phys. Rev. **D16**, 3031-3039, (1977).
- [6] J. Dai, X-C. Song, "Noncommutative Geometry of Lattices and Staggered Fermions", hep-th/0101130.
- [7] H. Kluberg-Stern, A. Morel, O. Napoly, "Flavours Of Lagrangian Susskind Fermions", Nucl. Phys. **B220**, 447-470, (1983); R. Gupta, "Introduction to Lattice QCD", Lectures given at the LXVIII Les Houches Summer School "Probing the Standard Model of Particle Interactions" (July 28-Sept 5, 1997), LAUR-98-3174, hep-lat/9807028.
- [8] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press (1994).
- [9] J. Dai, X-C. Song, "Pythagoras' Theorem on a 2D-Lattice from a "Natural" Dirac Operator and Connes' Distance Formula", hep-th/0101092.
- [10] J. Dai, X-C. Song, "Dirac-Connes Operator on Discrete Abelian Groups and Lattices", hep-th/0101111.
- [11] J. Dai, X-C. Song, "Wilson Action of Lattice Gauge Fields with An Additional Term from Noncommutative Geometry", hep-th/0101184.